Swami Vivekananda Advanced Journal for Research and Studies

Online Copy of Document Available on: https://www.svajrs.com/

ISSN: 2584-105X

IPR and Economic Growth: A Comparative Study of Patents, Copyrights, Trademarks, Trade Secrets, and GIs

Vishal Sonkar

Student, LL.M 2nd Semester, Ims Unison University Dehradun Uttarakhand

Dr. Rahul Singh

Assistant Professor, Ims Unison University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand

Abstract

In the contemporary knowledge-driven economy, Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) play a crucial role in fostering innovation, protecting creative efforts, and driving sustainable economic growth. This research explores the multifaceted impact of IPRs specifically patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, and geographical indications (GIs) on economic development through a comparative analytical lens.

The study aims to understand how each form of IPR contributes uniquely to incentivizing innovation, safeguarding competitive advantage, promoting cultural heritage, and encouraging foreign investment across various industries and regions. By analyzing global case studies and sector-specific examples from biotechnology patents and software copyrights to branding strategies using trademarks and the protection of traditional knowledge through GIs this research provides an integrated perspective on the strategic value of IPRs. The study also evaluates the interrelationship between effective IPR regimes and the creation of innovation ecosystems, particularly in emerging economies seeking to transition from resource-based to knowledge-based economies.

Furthermore, this paper critically examines the role of trade secrets in industrial competitiveness and innovation protection where formal registration is not pursued. It contrasts their covert nature with the legal visibility of other IPRs. In addition, the study highlights the importance of geographical indications in preserving community-based traditional products, contributing not only to economic development but also to social and cultural sustainability.

Methodologically, the research employs a qualitative-comparative approach supported by data from international IP databases, WIPO reports, and economic performance indicators. It also incorporates expert interviews and policy document analysis to assess the effectiveness of national and international IPR frameworks in facilitating economic and technological advancement. The findings underscore the importance of a balanced and robust IPR system that adapts to sector specific needs, supports small and medium enterprises (SMEs), and ensures equitable access and enforcement. This study contributes to the broader discourse on how harmonized IPR policies can be leveraged to achieve inclusive economic development while fostering a culture of innovation.

Keywords: Intellectual Property Rights, Economic Growth, Patents, Copyrights, Trademarks, Trade Secrets, Geographical Indications, Innovation, Knowledge Economy, Comparative Study, WIPO, Legal Frameworks

1. Introduction

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) have emerged as a pivotal driver in the modern knowledge-based economy. As countries transition from resource-based to innovation-driven growth models, the protection and promotion of intellectual property have gained immense significance. IPRs grant creators exclusive rights over the use of their innovations, fostering an environment that encourages creativity, investment, and the dissemination of knowledge.

Economic growth, defined broadly as an increase in the production and consumption of goods and services, is strongly influenced by technological progress, innovation, and human capital development. IPRs play a crucial role in incentivizing innovation by ensuring that inventors and creators can reap the benefits of their work, thereby contributing to productivity and competitiveness. From pharmaceutical patents to geographical indications on agricultural products, different forms of IPRs interact with the economy in varied ways.

This research aims to explore the relationship between IPRs and economic growth by comparatively analyzing five major types of intellectual property: patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, and geographical indications (GIs). Each of these rights serves a unique function in the economy and has different implications for innovation, investment, trade, and cultural preservation.

The core objective of this study is to understand:

- How different IPR types contribute to economic growth in various sectors and countries;
- The comparative impact of IPRs on innovation and market expansion;
- The effectiveness of IPR enforcement in fostering sustainable development.

Additionally, this paper seeks to highlight the challenges that countries, especially developing ones, face in leveraging IPRs for economic benefit. While IPRs can drive innovation and competitiveness, their misuse or weak enforcement can also lead to monopolies and reduced access to essential goods.

This comparative study is timely and relevant, given the increasing role of knowledge economies and global trade in shaping national policies. By analyzing the individual and

collective impact of various IPRs, this research will offer valuable insights for policymakers, legal experts, and economic planners looking to optimize their IPR frameworks for national development.

2. Literature Review

The relationship between Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) and economic growth has been widely discussed in academic, policy, and industry circles. The literature indicates that strong IPR systems can significantly influence economic development by encouraging innovation, attracting foreign direct investment (FDI), and enhancing competitiveness. However, the impact of IPRs is not uniform across countries or sectors, and different types of IPRs affect economic growth in unique ways.

2.1 Theoretical Framework

The endogenous growth theory provides a foundation for understanding the role of knowledge and innovation in economic development. Romer (1990) and Aghion & Howitt (1992) argue that innovation, driven by R&D and protected by intellectual property laws, is central to long-term economic growth. According to this theory, IPRs serve as incentives for innovation by offering temporary monopolies that allow creators to recoup their investments.

2.2 Empirical Evidence on Patents

Patents have been the most studied form of IPR in the context of economic growth. Several studies (e.g., Park & Ginarte, 1997; Chen & Puttitanun, 2005) have shown that stronger patent protections are associated with increased innovation and FDI, especially in high-income countries. However, the benefits are less pronounced in low-income nations where the innovation base is still developing. Moreover, sectoral studies suggest that patents are especially influential in pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, and electronics.

2.3 Copyrights and Creative Industries

Copyright protection has been linked to the growth of cultural and creative industries such as music, publishing, software, and film. Towse (2011) and Handke (2012) emphasize that robust copyright laws are essential for creative professionals to profit from their work, thereby stimulating job creation and economic contribution. However, digital piracy and

enforcement challenges continue to undermine the effectiveness of copyright regimes, particularly in developing countries.

2.4 Trademarks and Market Performance

Trademarks play a critical role in brand differentiation and consumer trust. Studies by Greenhalgh & Rogers (2007) and Millot (2009) suggest that firms with registered trademarks tend to be more productive, grow faster, and export more. Trademark protection is especially relevant for SMEs and consumer goods industries. Unlike patents, trademarks often benefit businesses in both developed and developing economies.

2.5 Trade Secrets and Industrial Competitiveness

While less frequently studied, trade secrets are vital for protecting confidential business information. Research by Hanna and Knight (2011) indicates that trade secrets are particularly important in sectors where reverse engineering is easy and patenting is impractical. Legal frameworks for trade secret protection vary widely across jurisdictions, affecting their overall utility and enforcement.

2.6 Geographical Indications (GIs) and Rural Development

GIs are a form of intellectual property that links products to specific regions, often reflecting unique qualities or reputations. Scholars like Bramley, Biénabe, and Kirsten (2009) and Rangnekar (2004) have shown that GIs can contribute to rural development, preserve traditional knowledge, and promote exports. However, the success of GIs depends heavily on legal recognition, collective management, and market demand.

2.7 Gaps in the Literature

While many studies have examined individual IPRs in relation to economic indicators, there is limited research comparing the impact of multiple IPR types within a single framework. Furthermore, most studies are country-specific or region-specific, lacking a global comparative analysis. This paper addresses this gap by evaluating and comparing how different IPRs contribute to economic growth across various sectors and nations.

3. Research Methodology

This research adopts a **comparative and analytical methodology** to evaluate the impact of different forms of Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, and geographical indications (GIs) on economic growth. The approach combines qualitative insights from literature and case studies with quantitative data drawn from international databases.

3.1 Research Design

The study is structured as a **cross-sectional and cross-country comparative analysis**, focusing on the economic impact of various IPRs in both developed and developing countries. By examining multiple forms of IPR in parallel, the study aims to identify both general trends and context-specific outcomes.

3.2 Data Collection

The data sources used in this study include:

- **World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)**: IPR filings and registrations by country and type
- **World Bank**: Economic indicators such as GDP growth, R&D expenditure, innovation index, and FDI inflows
- **UNESCO, OECD, and WTO**: Sectoral and trade-related data relevant to IPRs
- **National IPR Offices**: Country-specific data on enforcement and implementation

3.3 Sample Selection

A representative sample of countries is selected for the study, categorized into:

- **Developed economies** (e.g., United States, Germany, Japan)
- **Emerging economies** (e.g., India, Brazil, China)
- **Least developed countries** (e.g., Ethiopia, Nepal)

This diversity allows for the analysis of how institutional maturity and economic development affect the relationship between IPRs and growth.

3.4 Variables and Indicators

- **Independent Variables**: IPR activity (measured by number of patents, trademarks, copyrights, GIs, and trade secret-related litigations or policies)
- **Dependent Variable**: Economic growth (measured by GDP growth rate, innovation output, FDI inflow, exports of IP-intensive goods)
- **Control Variables**: Education level, ease of doing business, legal quality, and R&D investment

3.5 Analytical Tools

- **Descriptive Statistics**: To outline trends in IPR registrations and economic indicators
- **Comparative Tables and Graphs**: To visually compare the role of each IPR type across countries
- **Correlation Analysis**: To identify relationships between IPR strength and economic growth indicators
- **Qualitative Case Studies**: To provide in-depth analysis of specific IPR success stories or failures (e.g., Basmati GI in India, patent-led pharmaceutical growth in the U.S.)

3.6 Limitations

- Availability and consistency of data across countries may vary
- Trade secrets data are difficult to quantify due to confidentiality
- Causality cannot be fully established correlation does not imply causation
- Cultural, political, and legal factors influencing IPR effectiveness are complex to model quantitatively

This methodology provides a balanced and holistic foundation for analyzing the varied impact of IPRs on economic growth. It allows for both measurable comparisons and contextual insights that inform practical policy recommendations

4. Overview of IPR Types

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) encompass various legal protections that grant exclusive rights to creators, inventors, and businesses. Each type of IPR serves a unique role in protecting different forms of innovation and creativity, influencing economic activities across sectors. This section provides an overview of five key IPR categories—patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, and geographical indications (GIs) outlining their definitions, purposes, legal basis, and economic relevance.

4.1 Patents

Definition: A patent is an exclusive right granted for an invention, which can be a product or a process that offers a new way of doing something or provides a new technical solution to a problem.

Purpose: To encourage innovation by providing inventors with time-limited protection (usually 20 years) in exchange for public disclosure of their invention.

Economic Relevance:

- Drives research and development (R&D)
- Attracts high-tech investment and international collaboration
- Critical in sectors like pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, electronics, and clean energy

Example: The development of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines was significantly accelerated due to patent-backed biotechnology research.

4.2 Copyrights

Definition: Copyright protects original works of authorship including literature, music, film, software, and artistic works.

Purpose: To safeguard the moral and economic rights of creators over their works, typically for the creator's lifetime plus 50–70 years.

Economic Relevance:

- Supports creative industries and cultural exports
- Enables the growth of digital content economies (e.g., streaming, gaming, publishing)

- Vital for protecting creators against unauthorized copying and distribution

Example: Software developers rely on copyright protection to monetize and license their products.

4.3 Trademarks

Definition: A trademark is a sign, logo, symbol, or expression that distinguishes the goods or services of one entity from those of others.

Purpose: To ensure brand identity, consumer trust, and fair competition in the market.

Economic Relevance:

- Builds brand equity and consumer loyalty
- Facilitates marketing, product differentiation, and global trade
- Commonly used by businesses of all sizes, including SMEs

Example: The Apple logo is a globally recognized trademark symbolizing innovation and quality.

4.4 Trade Secrets

Definition: Trade secrets include confidential business information, such as formulas, processes, customer lists, or strategies, that provide a competitive edge.

Purpose: To protect sensitive information from competitors without requiring registration, as long as secrecy is maintained.

Economic Relevance:

- Especially important in sectors where patenting is impractical or too revealing
- Helps companies maintain competitiveness and reduce costs
- Protection relies on internal controls and legal enforcement mechanisms

Example: The formula for Coca-Cola has remained a closely guarded trade secret for over a century.

4.5 Geographical Indications (GIs)

Definition: GIs are signs used on products that have a specific geographical origin and possess qualities, reputation, or characteristics linked to that location.

Purpose: To preserve traditional knowledge and promote products with regional heritage.

Economic Relevance:

- Enhances rural development, agri-business, and exports
- Boosts tourism and local identity
- Helps small producers differentiate their products in global markets

Example: "Darjeeling Tea" and "Roquefort Cheese" are protected GIs known for their quality and regional uniqueness.

Each of these IPRs plays a distinct and critical role in shaping economic performance, influencing innovation, consumer behavior, and international trade in different ways. The following sections will examine how these rights collectively and comparatively contribute to economic growth across countries and sectors.

5. IPR and Economic Growth: Comparative Analysis

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) influence economic growth through a variety of channels, including innovation, entrepreneurship, investment, trade, and sectoral development. This section presents a comparative analysis of how patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, and geographical indications (GIs) contribute differently to economic performance across countries and industries.

5.1 Patents and Technological Advancement

Patents are highly correlated with innovation-driven growth, particularly in high-technology sectors. Countries with strong patent systems, such as the United States, Germany, Japan, and South Korea, show high R&D expenditure, patent filings, and GDP growth.

Case Study: South Korea's economic transformation is closely linked to its robust patenting system and public-private collaboration in innovation. Samsung and LG have thrived due to patent-backed research in electronics.

Observation: Patent protections attract FDI and stimulate domestic innovation but may offer limited immediate benefits in countries with low technological capacity.

5.2 Copyrights and the Creative Economy

Copyrights have become increasingly important in the digital age. The United States, UK, and South Korea have flourishing creative sectors (film, music, software) due to effective copyright regimes.

Case Study: India's Bollywood industry contributes significantly to GDP and employment, but widespread piracy hampers potential growth. Strengthening enforcement could multiply its economic impact.

Observation: Strong copyright enforcement enhances revenue for creators and investors but needs digital infrastructure and regulatory capacity to be effective.

5.3 Trademarks and Brand-Driven Growth

Trademarks are critical for both global brands and small enterprises, enabling them to differentiate products and build customer loyalty. Countries with high trademark registration, like China and the European Union, show a positive correlation between trademarks and SME growth.

Case Study: In the European Union, companies owning trademarks are 21% more likely to grow and 10% more likely to export, according to EUIPO studies.

Observation: Trademarks offer significant economic value across all development levels, particularly when linked to quality assurance and export promotion.

5.4 Trade Secrets and Industrial Competitiveness

Trade secrets are particularly valuable in industries where public disclosure (as in patents) is risky or unfeasible, such as food and manufacturing.

Case Study: The U.S. Uniform Trade Secrets Act has enabled businesses like Coca-Cola and KFC to protect proprietary formulas and processes, contributing to long-term profitability.

Observation: Trade secrets require strong enforcement mechanisms and corporate governance. Their contribution to GDP is indirect but substantial in high-competition industries.

5.5 Geographical Indications (GIs) and Rural Development

GIs promote region-specific products that enhance rural livelihoods, support traditional practices, and foster export potential.

Case Study: The GI tag for "Darjeeling Tea" in India has elevated the global reputation of Indian tea, increased farmers' income, and promoted sustainable agricultural practices.

Observation: GIs are most beneficial in agriculture, handicrafts, and tourism. However, their impact depends on effective branding, producer cooperation, and international recognition.

5.6 Comparative Matrix of IPRs and Economic Impact

IPR Type	***Primary Economic Benefit***	***Sectoral Impact***	***Most Effective In***
Patents	Innovation, R&D investment	Pharmaceuticals, Technology	Developed countries, tech sectors
Copyrights	Creative industry revenue, digital economy	Entertainment, Software, Publishing	Countries with digital infrastructure
Trademarks	Brand equity, SME growth, exports	FMCG, Apparel, Services	All development levels
Trade Secrets	Industrial competitiveness, cost advantage	Food, Manufacturing, Processes	Firms with internal legal strength
Geographical Indications	Rural development, export branding	Agriculture, Handicrafts, Tourism	Developing regions with unique products

5.7 Summary of Key Insights

- **Patents** drive innovation-led economic growth in R&D-intensive sectors but require institutional maturity.
- **Copyrights** fuel growth in the creative economy but depend on enforcement.
- **Trademarks** benefit businesses at all levels and correlate strongly with SME expansion and trade.
- **Trade secrets** provide silent but critical support to competitiveness, especially in developed economies.
- **GIs** uniquely link IPR to local development and cultural preservation, showing potential in rural and artisanal sectors.

6. Challenges in IPR Implementation

While Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) have the potential to stimulate economic growth, their effectiveness depends significantly on implementation. Many countries face structural, legal, and economic challenges that limit the reach and utility of IPRs. This section outlines the key issues that hinder the full realization of IPR benefits across different contexts.

6.1 Legal and Institutional Weaknesses

In many developing and least-developed countries, the legal infrastructure required for effective IPR enforcement is either weak or inconsistently applied.

- **Lack of specialized IPR courts** delays resolution and reduces investor confidence.
- **Insufficient training** of judiciary and enforcement agencies leads to poor handling of IPR cases.
- **Example**: In several African countries, trademark infringement and counterfeit goods remain prevalent due to underdeveloped legal systems.

6.2 Enforcement and Piracy

Even with strong laws on paper, enforcement remains a persistent challenge.

- **Digital piracy** undermines copyright protection, especially in music, software, and film.

- **Counterfeiting** of branded goods affects both consumer safety and business revenues.
- **Example**: In India, counterfeit pharmaceuticals have raised health concerns and discouraged foreign investment in the pharma sector.

6.3 Cost and Accessibility

Obtaining and maintaining IPR protection can be expensive, particularly for small businesses and individuals.

- **High costs** of patent filing and legal services deter startups from protecting innovations.
- **Complex procedures** discourage rural artisans and farmers from securing GIs or trademarks.
- **Example**: Many small-scale producers in Southeast Asia fail to register GIs due to lack of funding and administrative support.

6.4 Awareness and Education

Low awareness of IPR benefits among creators, innovators, and entrepreneurs limits participation.

- **Limited IPR literacy** prevents individuals from understanding their rights and using IPR strategically.
- **Insufficient academic focus** on IPR laws in business and technical education affects long-term innovation potential.
- **Example**: Studies show that many MSMEs in Latin America are unaware of the commercial value of trademarks and trade secrets.

6.5 International Disparities and Trade Tensions

IPR standards vary globally, creating tension in international trade and investment.

- **Developed countries** often push for stronger IPR regimes through trade agreements (e.g., TRIPS-Plus provisions), which developing countries may find restrictive.

- **Imbalance in innovation ownership** leads to perceived exploitation, especially in the case of indigenous knowledge and biodiversity.
- **Example**: The dispute over basmati rice GI claims between India and Pakistan reflects geopolitical and legal complexities in international IPR recognition.

6.6 Technology and Evolving Threats

Rapid technological change presents new challenges in the IPR landscape.

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** raises questions about ownership and originality.
- **Blockchain and NFTs** create new forms of digital property that existing IPR laws may not fully address.
- **Example**: AI-generated content in publishing and design is prompting legal debates over copyright eligibility.

6.7 Balancing Protection and Public Interest

A major policy challenge is ensuring that IPRs incentivize innovation without limiting access to essential goods and knowledge.

- **Excessive patent protection** may hinder access to affordable medicine or educational resources.
- **GIs and traditional knowledge** must be protected in ways that benefit communities, not just corporations.
- **Example**: The debate over COVID-19 vaccine patent waivers highlighted the tension between innovation incentives and global health equity.

6.8 Summary of Challenges

Challenge Area	***Impact on IPR Effectiveness***
Legal and Institutional Gaps	Weak enforcement and investor disinterest
Cost and Complexity	Exclusion of SMEs, artisans, and rural communities
Piracy and Counterfeiting	Revenue loss, consumer risk, and erosion of trust

Awareness Deficit	Underutilization of IPR tools by potential rightsholders
Global Disparities	Trade frictions and unequal benefit distribution
Technological Disruption	Need for updated legal frameworks
Public Interest vs. Private Rights	Ethical and humanitarian concerns in IPR policy

Despite these challenges, strengthening IPR implementation through reforms, education, and international cooperation can significantly enhance their positive impact on economic growth. The next section will outline strategies and recommendations to address these barriers effectively.

7. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

7.1 Conclusion

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) play a vital role in fostering innovation, creativity, competitiveness, and inclusive growth. This comparative study of patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, and geographical indications (GIs) demonstrates that each IPR type contributes uniquely to economic development based on sector, national capacity, and enforcement mechanisms.

- **Patents** stimulate innovation in high-tech industries and support R&D-intensive economies.
- **Copyrights** drive growth in cultural and digital economies.
- **Trademarks** empower businesses of all sizes by building brand value and expanding global trade.
- **Trade secrets** ensure competitive advantage in process-driven sectors.
- **GIs** promote rural development and protect traditional knowledge.

However, the overall effectiveness of IPRs is moderated by several challenges such as legal enforcement, accessibility, public awareness, and the need for policy balance between private

rights and public welfare. Addressing these challenges is critical for maximizing the developmental impact of IPRs, especially in emerging and developing economies.

7.2 Policy Recommendations

To strengthen the relationship between IPRs and economic growth, the following policy measures are recommended:

1. Strengthen IPR Enforcement Mechanisms

- Establish specialized IPR courts and fast-track judicial processes.
- Equip law enforcement agencies with training and digital tools to combat piracy and counterfeiting.

2. Improve Access and Affordability

- Subsidize or simplify IPR registration processes for MSMEs, artisans, startups, and farmers.
- Promote shared legal assistance programs or IP facilitation centers, especially in rural and underdeveloped areas.

3. Promote IPR Education and Awareness

- Integrate IPR modules into business, law, and technical education curricula.
- Launch national awareness campaigns to educate creators, innovators, and entrepreneurs about the benefits and processes of IPR protection.

4. Encourage Sector-Specific IPR Strategies

- Focus patent incentives in high-tech and pharmaceutical industries.
- Strengthen copyright enforcement in digital content platforms.
- Support GI registration and marketing for region-specific products.

5. Enhance International Cooperation

- Facilitate global recognition of GIs and traditional knowledge through WTO and WIPO platforms.

- Engage in balanced IPR trade negotiations that consider development goals.
- Support global initiatives to make essential innovations (e.g., medicines) accessible during emergencies.

6. Adapt IPR Laws to Emerging Technologies

- Update copyright and patent frameworks to account for AI-generated works and digital assets.
- Encourage policy dialogue around blockchain, NFTs, and digital ownership rights.

7. Promote Inclusive IPR Policies

- Ensure that IPR laws support both innovation and public welfare.
- Encourage community-based GI models that return benefits to local producers.
- Foster partnerships between public institutions and private innovators.

By adopting these recommendations, governments, industries, and institutions can build an IPR ecosystem that is inclusive, accessible, and aligned with broader developmental objectives. A robust and balanced IPR framework not only fuels economic growth but also enhances global competitiveness, cultural preservation, and social equity.

References

1. Akerlof, G. A., & Shiller, R. J. (2015). *Phishing for Phools: The Economics of Manipulation and Deception*. Princeton University Press.
2. Correa, C. M. (2007). *Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights: A Commentary on the TRIPS Agreement*. Oxford University Press.
3. European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO). (2021). *Intellectual property rights and firm performance in the EU*. Retrieved from https://euipo.europa.eu/
4. Gervais, D. (2020). *The TRIPS Agreement: Drafting History and Analysis* (4th ed.). Sweet & Maxwell.
5. Maskus, K. E. (2000). *Intellectual Property Rights in the Global Economy*. Institute for International Economics.

6. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2015). *Enquiries into Intellectual Property's Economic Impact*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264239555-en
7. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2021). *Trade secrets: Legal protection and enforcement*. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org/
8. Rao, C. N. R., & Manohar, S. (2020). *Intellectual Property Rights: Unlocking the Knowledge Economy*. Cambridge University Press.
9. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2016). *Intellectual Property and Development: Overview*. Retrieved from https://unctad.org
10. Watal, J. (2001). *Intellectual Property Rights in the WTO and Developing Countries*. Springer.
11. World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (2022). *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2022*. Retrieved from https://www.wipo.int/publications/en/
12. World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (2017). *Geographical Indications: An Introduction*. Retrieved from https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/geographical/952/wipo_pub_952.pdf
13. World Trade Organization (WTO). (1995). *Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)*. Retrieved from https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/trips_e/trips_e.htm